

Landmark Preservation Board Thursday, June 18, 2020 Meeting Minutes

WebEx.com Meeting

# **CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Don Radke called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

#### ROLL CALL

Members Present: Tom Cantwell, Bob Haley, Dan Leary, Julia Marshall, Don Radke, Jeff Romano, Lisa

Tonzi

Absent: Cynthia Carter Staff: Kate Auwaerter

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

J. Romano made a motion to approve the minutes of June 4, 2020, which was seconded by J. Marshall. The minutes were approved unanimously as submitted.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

No Old Business

## **NEW BUSINESS**

Certificates of Appropriateness

CA-20-12 106 Circle Road. The applicant was not present. The Board reviewed the proposed application to paint the house the following colors: BM-Sage Green (house body); BM-Classic Burgundy (front door); BM-Yorktown Green (shutters); and white trim. J. Romano made a motion to accept the application as submitted, which was seconded by D. Leary. The motion passed unanimously.

## Zoning Referrals

Project Site Review (PR-20-14): 413-17 S. Warren Street. Jim Knittel (in-architects) presented the application for the façade reconstruction at 413-17 S. Warren Street. He explained that the proposed façade restoration is based on historic photos, which depict a two-story, brick-faced building with two large, wood-paneled, second-story bay windows over the first-floor storefront. The Board was appreciative of the proposed project. J. Knittel explained that the State Historic Preservation Office did not consider the work eligible for the rehabilitation tax credits because too much of the façade had been removed. The Board asked staff to get a copy of the SHPO letter explaining this decision. The Board agreed that it would recommend approval of the proposed project as submitted.

#### **DISCUSSION**

910 Madison Street: Coordinated Review. K. Auwaerter explained that she is coordinating the review of the redevelopment project for the former Temple Concord property with Zoning Administration and Corporation Counsel. The developer is seeking both a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Landmark Preservation Board and variances from the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA). Because of its wider scope of review, the BZA is likely to be selected as the board of jurisdiction for the project and possibly as lead agency for the purposes of SEQRA. If so, the BZA will conduct an initial review the project and then may refer the project and Certificate of Appropriateness to the LPB for review. The LPB's decision on the Certificate of Appropriateness will help inform the BZA's final decision.

In discussion, the Board was pleased that the review would be coordinated with the BZA. B. Haley recommended strongly that prior to any review of the Certificates of Appropriateness that the Board establish the boundaries of the sanctuary structure that it will review. The Board has stated that it should include the original sanctuary and possibly the vestibule connected to the east façade of the sanctuary.

# **ADJOURN**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:05 AM.